

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DA		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,619	-	02/21/2002	Norikiyo Shibata	P/3541-19	4456	
2352	7590	06/17/2004		EXAMINER		
		ABER GERB & SOF	PRASAD, CHANDRIKA			
		F THE AMERICAS 100368403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11217	, , , , , ,			2839		
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/082,619		SHIBATA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Chandrika	Prasad	2839				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	on appears on the	over sheet with th	correspondence add	ress			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat previous for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no even tion. s, a reply within the statut period will apply and will y statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be ti ory minimum of thirty (30) da expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ation to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this con ED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	02 July 2002.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)∑	This action is no	n-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from con						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be correction is require	held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFF				
,—	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Englishments.	uments have been uments have been e priority documer Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applica its have been receiv 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National S	stage			
2) Notice 3) Infor	o t(s) See of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 See of Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ Ser No(s)/Mail Date 2/21/02.	/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		152)			

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 2. The following is a quotation of an appropriate paragraph of 37 CFR 1.75:
 - (d) The claim or claims must conform to the invention as set forth in the remainder of the specification and the terms and phrases used in the claims must find clear support or antecedent basis in the description so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be ascertainable by reference to the description. (See 1.58(a)).
- 3. Specification is objected under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(d) because height of the annular wall higher than the projection (claim 4) has not been described in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-2 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schwarz et al. (6402553).

Schwarz (Figures 1-3) discloses a medical instrument (not shown) provided with a plug having a plurality of electrode elements 13, each with an exposed portion

Application/Control Number: 10/082,619 Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

surrounded by an annular wall of a housing 15 and the electrodes 13 electrically connectable to a plurality of electrode elements 27 of a socket 3 formed in socket 1. The socket has an annular wall formed by socket 1. A cable connected to the socket to supply power to the plug is inherent. The plug has another electrical contact 21 with a bump 43, which engages a plate-like electrical contact 35 provided in a slit in a socket 3 of socket 1.

6. Claims 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stoll et al. (4960393).

Stoll (Figures 1-9) shows a connector having a projection 21 in a central area of a plug, an annular wall spaced a distance from the projection and a plurality of electrical contacts 34 positioned in longitudinal grooves on a peripheral surface of the projection and arranged parallel to a longitudinal axis of the connector. The width of groove is greater than the width of the contacts but smaller than a diameter of the projection. The contacts are separated by more than a width of the contacts.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 3-7, 12-17 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwarz (6402553) in view of Stoll et al. (4960393).

Schwarz shows all the features of these claims except electrodes disposed in circumferential grooves on a peripheral surface of a projection, height of the annular wall higher than of the projection from the bottom of the grooves and electrodes to be plate-like. These features are well known in the art of electrical connectors. Stoll shows these features. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide such a feature to Schwarz's plug because this would provide a more compact plug, the wall to protect the electrodes and plate-like electrode to provide spring contact as taught by Stoll

9. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwarz (6402553) in view of Nierlich et al. (5660567).

Schwarz shows all the features of these claims except the use of a resistor for detection/indication purposes. Such a feature is well known in the art of electrical connectors. Nierlich shows such a feature. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide such a feature to Schwarz's plug because this would provide a means to identify the type of the connector, hence the type of medical instrument being used.

10. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwarz (6402553) as modified by Stoll et al. (4960393) and further in view of Nierlich et al. (5660567).

Schwarz and Stoll show all the features of these claims except the use of a resistor for detection/indication purposes. Such a feature is well known in the art of electrical connectors. Nierlich shows such a feature. It would have been obvious to

one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide such a feature to Schwarz's plug because this would provide a means to identify the type of the connector, hence the type of medical instrument being used.

11. Claims 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwarz (6402553).

Schwarz shows all the features of these claims except the use stop guide and latching mechanism. These features are well known in the art of electrical connectors. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide these features because this would provide a means to guide and latch the plug and the socket together.

12. Claims 33-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwarz (6402553) in view of Hood et al (5324297).

Schwarz shows all the features of these claims except a transducer, a latching mechanism and a water-proof packing. These features are well known in the art of medical devices and electrical connectors. Hood discloses an ultrasonic transducer 26 in a handpiece of a medical instrument. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide a transducer in the Schwarz's plug because this would provide a means to convert a drive current to ultrasonic vibrations as taught by Hood.

As to the latching and water-proof packing are concerned, these features are common knowledge and well known in the art of electrical connectors. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to

Application/Control Number: 10/082,619 Page 6

Art Unit: 2839

provide these features in the Schwarz's plug and socket because this would provide a means to latch the plug and socket together and prevent entry of water into the instrument, which are known in the art.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wright (6083030), Nakada et al. (5301061) and Esh (6022237).

Contact Information

14. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (571) 272-2099.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner June 10, 2004